

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/746,144 12/21/2000 Wayne E. Comish 25141-0130 2421

7590 02/11/2002

FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT,LLP 6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES,, CA 90045

EXAMINER
WINGOOD, PAMELA LYNN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3736

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ch

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/746,144

Applicant(s)

Cornish, et al.

Examiner

Pamela Wingood

Art Unit **3736**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE!	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C fter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation.
- If the		s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
- If NC	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
- Failu	ommunication. re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b	y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	reply received by the Office later than three months after the arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	··································
_		tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is earte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
-	ition of Claims	
		is/are pending in the application.
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗌		is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-19</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	ation Papers	
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	
11)□ -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·
Attachm	ent(s)	
15) 🔲 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (РТО-413) Paper No(s).
16) 🗌 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a guidewire, classified in class 600, subclass 585.
 - II. Claims 11-19, drawn to a method of making an elongate medical device, classified in class 29, subclass 402.19.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process can be used to make alloys for electrical applications.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Paul Feng on February 4, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/746,144

Art Unit: 3736

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CAR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CAR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CAR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CAR 1.17(I).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner

should be directed to Pamela L. Wingood whose number is (703) 308-2676. The FAX number for

Group 3730 is (703)308-3590.

amela L. Wingood

Patent Examiner

February 9, 2002

Page 3